AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

SB 67 S1 2011 General Session

Annual Eye Examination for Children in Grades Kindergarten Through Three

Sponsor: Senator Luz Robles Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact: Jenefer Youngfield Title: School Construction Specialist

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7669 Cell: (801) 513-6682

A. Short Form (For bills that have no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

e

X State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.

X There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

There is no fiscal impact on businesses
There is no fiscal impact on individuals.

X The bill will not affect revenues.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

Increasing the age range of eye examinations from age 7 to 8 could potentially increase the number of screenings needed throughout the state, but the number... *Continued on second tab.*

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

A potential fiscal impact could fall to schools for any added cost to screen the increased pool of students... *Continued on second tab.*

C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

For multiple appropriations
This is of

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

It is not possible to accurately estimate the number of additional students that may need to be screened. However, if we assume that schools may have an increase of 10% in screenings without impacting the current infrastructure, screenings above that level could require additional volunteers or may add to the costs.

For example: If school A had an increase of 20 students and could absorb 8 students with existing staff, the costs could be \$256 for the remaining 12 students--based on an average cost of \$32 per screening. If school B had an increase of 50 students and could absorb 27 students with existing...

Continued on second tab.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Total	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013 0	
F. COSTS by FUND Select Fund Current Budget Year Coming Budget Year Future Budget Year FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013					

G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY. Current Budget Year Coming Budget Year Future Budget Year Expenses by Category FY 2011 FY 2013 FY 2012 **Personal Services** Travel **Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay** Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru 0 0 **Total**

Total

0

H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect: Local Governments School districts and charter schools may incur added costs or need to recruit additional volunteers, for increased numbers of students in the screening pool. The Utah State Office of Rehabilitation... Continued on second tab. Businesses Businesses may not see a direct impact related to this bill. Individuals Parent of 8 year old public school students may see their children be screened. Volunteers may be called on to provide additional hours of service for increased numbers of student screenings. 2010 Version 11.09 This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. Attachments welcome.

AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

SB 67 S1 2011 General Session continued...

Annual Eye Examination for Children in Grades Kindergarten Through Three

Sponsor: Senator Luz Robles Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact: Jenefer Youngfield Title: School Construction Specialist

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7669 Cell; 801-513-6682

A. Short Form - continued from first tab...

of additional screenings that may be needed is unknown. There may be some existing personnel resources and community volunteers at schools that will allow an increase in screenings without additional cost to the existing infrastructure. The potential added cost to screen the additional group of students varies widely from no cost to an average cost of \$32 per student. Almost all respondents indicated a cost for reporting and follow-up contact, even when using volunteers to perform the screenings. The Utah State Office or Rehabilitation may need an additional full or part FTE to take care of increased screenings.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact continued from first tab...

that aren't absorbed within the current infrastructure of staff and/or volunteers. A potential fiscal impact could fall to the Utah State Office of Rehabilitation for added cost related to the increased pool of students.

C. Which program gets the appropriation continued from first tab...

D. Work Notes: continued from Tab 1 continued from first tab...

staff and absorb the remaining 23 with increased volunteers, the cost would be zero. If school C, which provides all screenings with volunteers, and has an increase in students of any amount, this school would obtain additional volunteers to provide screenings, and the cost would be zero.

E. REVENUES continued from first tab...

F. COSTS by FUND <i>continu</i>	ued from first tab	
G. COSTS by EXPENDITU	TRE CATEGORY <i>continued from first tab</i>	
H. Non-State Impacts con	ntinued from first tab	
Local Governments	may need an added FTE to handle increased numbers of screenings.	
Businesses		
Individuals		
2010 Version 11.09 This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah Sta	te Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.	Attachments welcome.